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## INTRODUCTION

- Texas State Gas Tax: 20.0 cents per gallon since 1991
- Federal Gas Tax: 18.4 cents per gallon since 1993
- Issues:
- Inflation
- Increased Vehicle Fuel Efficiency
- Population and VMT Increasing

- Aging Infrastructure
- One potential solution:
- Change to a Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Fee System


## RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

- Develop, test, and analyze four VMT fee scenarios with respect to equity


## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

- Use 2009 National Household Travel Survey (NHTS) data to assess the equity impacts of four VMT fee scenarios
- Scenario 1: Flat VMT Fee
- Scenario 2: Flat VMT Fee for Added Revenue
- Scenario 3: Three-Tier VMT Fee to Encourage
"Green" Vehicles
- Scenario 4: Urban and Rural VMT Fee
- Examined (a) assuming no change in travel behavior (static) and (b) assuming some change (dynamic)
- Version 2.1 of 2009 NHTS released mid-February 2011
- Key variables we used:
- ANNMILES (Self-reported annualized mile estimate)
- EIADMPG (EIA derived miles per gasoline-equivalent gallon estimate)
- FUELTYPE (Type of fuel)
- Texas paid for an additional 20,000 household surveys
- Began with 21,410 households with 45,122 vehicles
- Filtered down to 14,595 households with 29,162 vehicles


## WEIGHTING NHTS DATA

- Weighted the data ( 14,595 households) to reflect all vehicleowning Texas households in the year 2008, disaggregated by:
A) Household Income Level (5 classes)
B) Household Size (1 to 4+)
C) Number of Household Employees ( $0,1,2+$ )
D) Household Geographic Location (Urban, Rural)
- Represent Texas's 7.9 million vehicle-owning households


## PRICE ELASTICITIES

| Household Income <br> Level (\$1,000s) | Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<20$ | -0.447 | -0.254 |
| $20-40$ | -0.280 | -0.159 |
| $40-60$ | -0.259 | -0.147 |
| $60-100$ | -0.335 | -0.191 |
| $100+$ | -0.373 | -0.212 |

Adopted from Wadud, Graham and Noland, 2009

## SCENARIO 1: FLAT VMT FEE

- Calculated a flat VMT fee that would generate same net revenue as Texas state gas tax
- Accounted for VMT fee system costs, resulting in VMT fees approximately $42 \%$ greater
- Static Scenario 1: $\$ 0.01426$ per mile
- Dynamic Scenario 1: \$0.01442 per mile


## SCENARIO 2: FLAT VMT FEE FOR ADDED REVENUE

- Designed to generate $\$ 14.3$ billion additional net revenue annually (2030 Texas Transportation Needs Committee)
- Scaled version of Scenario 1
- Static Scenario 2: $\$ 0.1156$ per mile fee
- Dynamic Scenario 2: $\$ 0.1503$ per mile fee


## SCENARIO 3: THREE-TIER VMT FEE TO ENCOURAGE "GREEN" VEHICLES

Average Vehicle Fuel Economy 21.02 MPG 19.60 MPG

## Median Vehicle Fuel Economy

- Static Model
- Fuel Econ. < Median
- Fuel Econ. between Median and Mean
- Fuel Econ. > Mean
$\$ 0.1541$
\$0.1156
$\$ 0.0771$
- Dynamic Model
- Fuel Econ. < Median
- Fuel Econ. Between Median and Mean
- Fuel Econ. > Mean
\$0.1974
\$0.1480
\$0.0987


# SCENARIO 4: URBAN AND RURAL DISTINCTION 

| Cost Type | Description | Annual Amount (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban Cost | Urban Mobility | 7.8 Billion |
| Rural Cost | Rural Mobility and Safety | 0.9 Billion |
| Shared Cost | Pavement Maintenance | 4.0 Billion |
| Shared Cost | Bridge Maintenance | 1.6 Billion |

Static Model under 80/20 Assumption

- Urban Roadway Fee: $\$ 0.1325$ per mile fee
- Rural Roadway Fee: $\$ 0.08621$ per mile fee

Dynamic Model under 80/20 Assumption

- Urban Roadway Fee: $\$ 0.1799$ per mile fee
- Rural Roadway Fee: $\$ 0.1072$ per mile fee


## PERCENT INCREASE IN THE AVERAGE ANNUAL AMOUNT ASSESSED PER HOUSEHOLD IN THE FORM OF A VMT FEE VERSUS THE STATE GAS TAX FOR THE STATIC MODELS (\%)

| Household <br> Income <br> Level | Scenario 1 |  | Scenario 2 |  |  | Scenario 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario 4 <br> $80 / 20$ <br> Assumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (\$1,000s) | Urb | Rur | Urb | Rur | Urb | Rur | Urb | Rur |
| $<20$ | 41.3 | 38.6 | 1,045 | 1,023 | 1,030 | 1,062 | 1,121 | 827 |
| $20-40$ | 44.8 | 36.3 | 1,073 | 1,005 | 1,033 | 1,051 | 1,151 | 812 |
| $40-60$ | 43.4 | 39.9 | 1,062 | 1,034 | 1,042 | 1,058 | 1,139 | 837 |
| $60-100$ | 43.1 | 39.3 | 1,059 | 1,029 | 1,056 | 1,074 | 1,136 | 833 |
| $100+$ | 43.3 | 40.2 | 1,061 | 1,036 | 1,059 | 1,069 | 1,138 | 838 |
| Total | 43.3 | 39.1 | 1,061 | 1,027 | 1,047 | 1,065 | 1,138 | 831 |

## VERTICAL EQUITY GINI COEFFICIENT (G)



Accumulated Proportion of
Households Based on Income

## VERTICAL EQUITY RESULTS: GINI COEFFICIENT

| Scenario | Gini Coefficient (G) | Description of Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Static Scenario 3 | 0.1734 | Most Progressive |
| Dynamic Scenario 3 | 0.1712 |  |
| Static Scenario 1 | 0.1697 |  |
| Static Scenario 2 | 0.1697 |  |
| Dynamic Scenario 1 | 0.1692 |  |
| Gas Tax | 0.1687 |  |
| Dynamic Scenario 2 | 0.1684 |  |
| Static Scenario 4, 70/30 | 0.1672 |  |
| Static Scenario 4, 80/20 | 0.1670 |  |
| Dynamic Scenario 4, 70/30 | 0.1661 |  |
| Dynamic Scenario 4, 80/20 | 0.1656 | Most Regressive |

## VERTICAL EQUITY RESULTS

- Differences in Gini Coefficients are small
- Texas state gas tax near the mid-point


## HORIZONTAL EQUITY

- Scenario 4 : Inherently horizontally equitable
- VMT fees associated with urban roadways go towards addressing urban roadway needs (similar for rural areas)
- Scenarios with urban/rural household revenue distributions most distant from those under Scenario 4 are the least horizontally equitable


## HORIZONTAL EQUITY: STATIC RESULTS

| Scenario | Percentage <br> of Revenue <br> Collected <br> from Urban <br> Households | Percentage of <br> Revenue <br> Collected from <br> Rural <br> Households | Comments | Percent Difference <br> in Rural <br> Household <br> Revenue versus <br> Scenario 4 (80/20) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Static <br> Scenario 4 | 77.4 | 22.6 | Horizontally <br> Equitable | 0 |
| Static <br> Scenario 2 | 72.6 | 27.4 |  | 4.8 |
| Static <br> Scenario 1 | 72.6 | 27.4 |  | 4.8 |
| State Gas <br> Tax | 72.0 | 28.0 |  | 5.4 |
| Static <br> Scenario 3 | 71.7 | 28.3 | Rural Households <br> Affected Most <br> Negatively | 5.7 |

## RESEARCH LIMITATIONS

- Road-type travel breakdown by both urban households and rural households based on educated estimate (seems reasonable based on some TTI research using GPS data estimates)
- Only gasoline-run household vehicles included (excluded only 1.6 percent of vehicles)
- Actual installation costs, operating costs, and leakage costs unknown


## CONCLUSIONS

- Using NHTS data from 14,595 Texas Households, weighted to reflect all vehicle owning Texas Households, we investigated the equity impacts of replacing the state gas tax with a VMT fee under four scenarios and found:
- Small differences in vertical equity impacts for the VMT scenarios versus the current state gas tax
- Some negative horizontal equity impactions for rural households under most scenarios...but most were more equitable than the current state gas tax
- The scenario favoring fuel efficient vehicles (\#3) was the least horizontally equitable but most progressive (vertical equity)

